

From: [Fleeson, Liza \(VDACS\)](#)
To: [Laws, Meredith](#)
Subject: RE: Neonics Pollinator Language
Date: Monday, July 15, 2013 2:02:12 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

I will be there at 2:30...

Thank you.

LF

From: Laws, Meredith [<mailto:Laws.Meredith@epa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 2:01 PM
To: Fleeson, Liza (VDACS)
Subject: RE: Neonics Pollinator Language

Sure, if you have time. I think we will be in my office.

Also – I have another meeting that would be good for you to attend – I'll explain when I see you.

From: Fleeson, Liza (VDACS) [<mailto:Liza.Fleeson@vdacs.virginia.gov>]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 1:59 PM
To: Laws, Meredith
Subject: RE: Neonics Pollinator Language

Shall I plan to join you for your 2:30 PM meeting?

LF

From: Laws, Meredith [<mailto:Laws.Meredith@epa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 1:51 PM
To: Steeger, Thomas; Moriarty, Thomas; Eagle, Venus; Roelofs, James; Fleeson, Liza (VDACS)
Subject: RE: Neonics Pollinator Language

I can meet between 2:30 and 4:00. Lois has set up a meeting with me at 4:00 to go over the new pollinator plan...

Tom S – I know you leave at 3:30. Should I set it up for 2:30?

From: Steeger, Thomas
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 1:46 PM
To: Laws, Meredith; Moriarty, Thomas; Eagle, Venus; Roelofs, James; Liza.Fleeson@vdacs.virginia.gov
Subject: RE: Neonics Pollinator Language

How about another meeting to discuss what we heard from Bill Jordan? Ideally, we should be able to provide a draft for management this week.

From: Laws, Meredith
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 1:41 PM
To: Moriarty, Thomas; Steeger, Thomas; Eagle, Venus; Roelofs, James; Liza.Fleeson@vdacs.virginia.gov
Subject: RE: Neonic Pollinator Language

Tom – sheesh. You need another cup of coffee. I said I couldn't duplicate the icon, I didn't intend to leave it off the 3rd statement. See my first email below.

I'm ok with the BMP statement, since it appears Steve wants something about BMPs on labels. Actually, this may not be sufficient but he can tell us what he wants.

And – we *should* have separate text for seed treatment products – and also ask Steve if we can mandate that seed treatments be stand-alone products and not also have foliar and drench instructions (the 'frankenstein' label that Venus calls it). It is too awkward and confusing to have to include the separate Env. Hazards etc, that has to go on the seed tag.

Meredith

From: Moriarty, Thomas
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 1:30 PM
To: Laws, Meredith; Steeger, Thomas; Eagle, Venus; Roelofs, James; Liza.Fleeson@vdacs.virginia.gov
Subject: RE: Neonic Pollinator Language

Meredith, et al.

- An icon is not included next to the 3rd statement, was this intentional?
- For statement #2, what do people think of adding the following statement: “Employ Best Management Practices to protect pollinators, for BMPs and information on protecting bees and other pollinators see the National Integrated Pest Management website at: <http://www.ipmcenters.org>”
- Would a separate ~~Wildlife Protection Requirements~~ (... oh, ... sorry Meredith...) Protection of Pollinators box be needed for products that are applied just as a seed treatment (i.e., stand-alone seed treatment products)? IF so, perhaps a different box, with language that is relevant/targeted for seed treatment would be appropriate for these types of products.

tm

From: Laws, Meredith
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 10:57 AM
To: Steeger, Thomas; Moriarty, Thomas; Eagle, Venus; Roelofs, James; Liza.Fleeson@vdacs.virginia.gov
Subject: Neonic Pollinator Language

Here are the 2 revised documents: the “Pollinator Protection Box” and the text for the Directions for Use. (Tom S – I couldn't figure out how to add another “diamond with the bee in it” – I ended up moving it from item 3 to the new item 4! Can you add one back in?)

If you are all ok with this, let me know. Thanks.

Meredith

(but I did figure out how to do a “dead bee” ..but the shadow doesn’t work):

